# Cross cutting relationships among community concerns and green urban infrastructure in the Jordan River Corridor

### Luis Vidal, University of Utah

Research Mentors: Dr. Mark Brunson, Taya Carothers, Utah State University

## Goals

- Explore the relationships between residents and green urban infrastructure (wetlands, parks, urban creeks, urban trails, ect.) in the Jordan River Corridor.
- Identify what issues and benefits the river currently has and compare that to the needs the community holds about the river.
- Respondents' level of engagement with local green spaces will be associated with their support for new parks and perceived quality of life.39



Figure 1. The target areas are highlighted. The Jordan River corridor runs from the south to north passing the Glendale, Poplar Grove, and Rose Park neighborhoods.

### **Research Methods**

• We followed the public (street) intercept model that is proven to be effective in high diversity communities (Miller et al, 1997). We strategically selected areas to survey but conveniently chose participants in the area.

	Public Parks and libraries	Grocery	Public	
		stores	Events	
	39%(151)	19%(73)	42% (163)	

Table 1. From a total of 401 responses (n=401) the majority came from public events such as community fairs and meetings.



Figure 3. Surveys were conducted through iPads available bilingually in English and Spanish. All questions were optional for participants.

uisquillermo.vidal@gmail.com

Figure 2. Proportions of ethnicity and race of the sample population.

Survey: Offered in both English and Spanish. Scalar auestions (1 to 4) were asked in regards to needs and concerns. Each question was optional aside from age (18 and older only) and maps were given on geographic guestions.

## Results

 There were no correlations found between frequency of visits to a nearby constructed wetlands and influences on quality of life. Support for parks did not vary based on levels of concern about issues such as safety and water quality

How o Wetla	Table 2. majority respond have no					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	the con	
Valid	Never	119	29.7	43.0	wetland south. L visitatio	
	A few times per year	72	18.0	26.0		
	Monthly	43	10.7	15.5		
	Weekly	32	8.0	11.6		
	Daily	11	2.7	4.0	affect s	
	Total	277	69.1	100.0	for new	
Missing	System	124	30.9			
Total		401	100.0		spaces.	

#### able 2. The naiority of respondents ave not visited he constructed wetlands at 900 south. Lack of isitation did not iffect support or new green

#### Impact:

Results from this study will be shared with Salt Lake City Open Space to help the city better design parks and green areas. The Results offer insights into the views of diverse west side neighborhoods not previously available.







culture 11% 53% 5% 317 31% projects Lighting 8% 41% 46% 5% 327 Parking 15% 49% 30% 6% 303 Table 3. Shows the top three most responded

Support for public amenities in

parks in the Jordan R. Corridor

6% 45% 45%

Trash

cans

Art &

No

4%

Total

336

needs as well as the least responded.

#### **IFELLOWS UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH PROGRAM**

http://jutahepscor.org

